


OFFALY COUNTY COUNCIL

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 5 OF THE
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT ACT 2000, AS AMENDED

REFERENCE: DEC 24/121

NAME OF APPLICANT: ANNE MARIE AND ANTONIO ORTEGA,

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE:



NATURE OF APPLICATION: request for declaration under Section 5 of the Planning & Development Act 2000, as amended as to whether or not the renovations to an existing dwelling is, or is not, development and is or is not exempted development at Enaghan, Walsh Island, Co. Offaly R35 H990.

LOCATION OF DEVELOPMENT: Enaghan, Walsh Island, Co. Offaly R35 H990.

WHEREAS a question has arisen as to whether the renovations to an existing dwelling is or is not development and is or is not exempted development at Enaghan, Walsh Island, Co. Offaly R35 H990.

AS INDICATED on the particulars received by the Planning Authority on the 19th November 2024.

AND WHEREAS Anne Marie and Antonio Ortega  have requested a declaration on the said question from Offaly County Council.

AND WHEREAS Offaly County Council, in considering this declaration request, had regard particularly to:

(a) Section 2, 3(1) and 4(1)(h) of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended.

AND WHEREAS Offaly County Council has concluded that the proposed works is development and is exempted development particularly having regard to section 4(1)(h).

NOW THEREFORE Offaly County Council, in exercise of the powers conferred on it by Section 5(2)(a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended), hereby decides that:

- The renovation to an existing dwelling **is development and is exempted development** at Enaghan, Walsh Island, Co. Offaly R35 H990.

MATTERS CONSIDERED In making its decision, the Planning Authority had regard to those matters to which, by virtue of the Planning and Development Acts and regulations made thereunder, it was required to have regard. Such matters included any submissions and observations received by it in accordance with statutory provisions.



Administrative Officer

13/12/24

Date

Note: Any person issued with a Declaration may on payment to An Bord Pleanála, 64 Marlborough Street Dublin 2 of such fees as may be described refer a declaration for review by the board within four weeks of the issuing of the Declaration.

Planning Report

Section 5 Declaration

File Reference:	Dec. 24/121
Question:	Whether or not the renovations to an existing dwelling and is, or is not, development and is, or is not, exempted development at Eneghan, Walsh Island, Co. Offaly R35 H990.
Applicant:	Anne Marie and Antonio Ortega
Correspondence Address:	[REDACTED]
Location:	Enaghan, Walsh Island, Co. Offaly R35 H990

1. Introduction

The question has arisen as to whether the renovations to an existing dwelling and is, or is not, development and is, or is not, exempted development.

2. Background

The subject site is in the townland of Enaghan, which is about 7km north-west of Portarlinton Town. The site is in an area which is classified as *rural area under strong urban influence* in the *Offaly County Development Plan 2021-27* (OCDP). The existing dwelling is a single-storey, detached, 1970s-syle bungalow, which is accessed by a short narrow laneway off Local Road L-10111-1.



Figure 1: Site location map (red line boundary)



Photo 1: Front (south) elevation of existing dwelling



Photo 2: Rear of existing dwelling

3. Site History

On Site – No recent, relevant planning history associated with the subject site.

Enforcement – No recent history associated with the subject site.

Adjoining Lands - No recent, relevant planning history associated with the adjoining lands.

4. Legislative Context

In order to assess whether or not the proposed works constitute exempted development, regard must be had to the following items of legislation:

(a) Statutory Provisions

Section 2 (1) *Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended*, states as follows:

“house” means a building or part of a building which is being or has been occupied as a dwelling or was provided for use as a dwelling but has not been occupied, and where appropriate, includes a building which was designed for use as 2 or more dwellings or a flat, an apartment or other dwelling within such a building;

“works” includes any act or operation of construction, excavation, demolition, extension, alteration, repair or renewal and, in relation to a protected structure or proposed protected structure, includes any act or operation involving the application or removal of plaster, paint, wallpaper, tiles or other material to or from the surfaces of the interior or exterior of a structure.

Section 3 (1) *Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended*, defines development:

“development” means, except where the context otherwise requires, the carrying out of any works on, in, over or under land or the making of any material change in the use of any structures or other land.

Section 4 (1) *Planning and Development Act 2000 as amended*.

Furthermore, in relation to the proposed development, which includes substantial renovations of the existing dwelling Section 4 (1) (a) – (l) sets out what is exempted development for the purposes of this Act including:

*4(1)(h) development consisting of the carrying out of works for the maintenance, improvement or other alteration of any structure, **being works which affect only the interior of the structure** and which do not materially affect the external appearance of the structure so as to render the appearance inconsistent with the character of the structure or neighbouring structures.*

The subject mainly internal renovation is considered to be in accordance with section 4 (1)(h) outlined above.

5. Proposal by Applicants

The Applicants has advised that they propose the mainly internal renovation of the existing dwelling:




Figure 2: Proposed renovations

6. Evaluation

Question: Whether the proposed works to the existing dwelling are development and, if so, are they exempted development?

In considering the proposed works against the definitions of 'development' and 'works' as provided in the Act, it is the view of the Planning Authority that the proposed works are deemed as development as it includes alterations to the existing dwelling.

Question: Is this proposal considered as Exempted Development?

With regard to the proposed works which are described in the submitted documents, the Planning Authority are satisfied that the proposed works would not result in a material alteration to the appearance of the dwelling and would not be inconsistent with the character of area.

It is the opinion of the Planning Authority that the proposed works meet the criteria of exempt development under statutory provisions.

7. Screening

A screening exercise for an appropriate assessment has been carried out and it is concluded that the development is unlikely to have significant effects on any European sites. Please see attached report under Appendix A.

It is considered that the proposed development does not constitute a development listed in Schedule 5 of the *Planning and Development Regulations 2001 as amended*. Furthermore, it is not a sub-threshold development. Accordingly, an EIAR is not required.

8. Appropriate Assessment

A screening exercise for an appropriate assessment has been carried out and it has been concluded that the existing development is unlikely to have significant effects on any European sites. Please see attached report under Appendix A.

10. Conclusion

It is recommended that the Applicant be advised that the proposed development **is development** and **is exempted development**.

Declaration on Development and Exempted Development

Section 5 of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended)

WHEREAS a question has arisen as to whether the renovations to an existing dwelling is or is not development and is or is not exempted development at Enaghan, Walsh Island, Co. Offaly R35 H990.

AS INDICATED on the particulars received by the Planning Authority on the 19th November 2024.

AND WHEREAS Anne Marie and Antonio Ortega, [REDACTED] have requested a declaration on the said question from Offaly County Council.

AND WHEREAS Offaly County Council, in considering this declaration request, had regard particularly to:

(a) Section 2, 3(1) and 4(1)(h) of the *Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended*.

AND WHEREAS Offaly County Council has concluded that the proposed works is development and is exempted development particularly having regard to section 4(1)(h)

NOW THEREFORE Offaly County Council, in exercise of the powers conferred on it by Section 5(2)(a) of the *Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended)*, hereby decides that:

- The renovation to an existing dwelling is **development** and is **exempted development** at Enaghan, Walsh Island, Co. Offaly R35 H990.

Please note that any person issued with a declaration under subsection 2(a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) may on payment to the Board of the prescribed fee, refer a declaration to An Bord Pleanála within 4 weeks of the issuing of the decision.



Enda Finlay
Graduate Planner

11th December 2024



Ed Kelly
ASEP

12th December 2024

APPENDIX 1
APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT SCREENING
REPORT FOR PLANNING APPLICATIONS



Screening is used to determine if an AA is necessary by examining:

- If the plan / project is directly connected with / necessary to the management of the European site.
- If the effects will be significant on a European site in view of its conservation objectives, either alone / in combination with other plans / projects.

Planning Authority: Offaly County Council

Planning Application Ref. No.: DEC 24/121

(A) DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AND LOCAL SITE:			
Existing development:	whether the renovations to an existing dwelling and is, or is not, development and is, or is not, exempted development		
Site location:	Enaghan, Walsh Island, Co. Offaly R35 H990.		
Site size:	n/a	Floor Area of Proposed Development:	n/a
Identification of nearby European Site(s):	River Barrow and River Nore SAC 6.13km		
Distance to European Site(s):	As above – all as crow flies		
The characteristics of existing, proposed or other approved plans / projects which may cause interactive / cumulative impacts with the project being assessed and which may affect the European site:	None		
Is the application accompanied by an EIAR?		No: X	
(B) IDENTIFICATION OF THE RELEVANT EUROPEAN SITE(S):			
The reasons for the designation of the European site(s):			
River Barrow and River Nore SAC – Features of interest include: Estuaries [1130] Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide [1140] Reefs [1170] Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand [1310] Atlantic salt meadows (<i>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritima</i>) [1330] Mediterranean salt meadows (<i>Juncetalia maritimi</i>) [1410] Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <i>Ranunculus fluitantis</i> and <i>Callitriche-Batrachion</i> vegetation [3260]			

European dry heaths [4030] Hydrophilous tall herb fringe communities of plains and of the montane to alpine levels [6430] Petrifying springs with tufa formation (Cratoneurion) [7220] Old sessile oak woods with Ilex and Blechnum in the British Isles [91A0] Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) [91E0] Vertigo moulinsiana (Desmoulin's Whorl Snail) [1016] Margaritifera margaritifera (Freshwater Pearl Mussel) [1029] Austropotamobius pallipes (White-clawed Crayfish) [1092] Petromyzon marinus (Sea Lamprey) [1095] Lampetra planeri (Brook Lamprey) [1096] Lampetra fluviatilis (River Lamprey) [1099] Alosa fallax fallax (Twaite Shad) [1103] Salmo salar (Salmon) [1106] Lutra lutra (Otter) [1355] Trichomanes speciosum (Killarney Fern) [1421]	
The conservation objectives / qualifying interests of the site and the factors that contributes to the conservation value of the site: (which are taken from the European site synopses and, if applicable, a Conservation Management Plan; all available on www.npws.ie) (ATTACH INFO.)	
SITE NAME: River Barrow and River Nore SAC Site Code: 002162 https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/synopsis/SY002162.pdf	
(C) NPWS ADVICE:	
Advice received from NPWS over phone:	None Received
Summary of advice received from NPWS in written form (ATTACH SAME):	None Received
(D) ASSESSMENT OF LIKELY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS:	
<i>(The purpose of this is to identify if the effect(s) identified could be significant – if uncertain assume the effect(s) are significant).</i>	
If the answer is 'yes' to any of the questions below, then the effect is significant. <i>(Please justify your answer. 'Yes' / 'No' alone is insufficient)</i>	
Would there be... ... any impact on an Annex 1 habitat? (Annex 1 habitats are listed in Appendix 1 of AA Guidance).	Not likely due to the location and type of development. The site is sufficient distance from the European site.
... a reduction in habitat area on a European site?	There will be no reduction in the habitat area. The site is sufficient distance from the European site.
... direct / indirect damage to the physical quality of the environment (e.g. water quality and supply, soil compaction) in the European site?	Not likely due to the location and type of development The site is sufficient distance from the European site.
... serious / ongoing disturbance to species / habitats for which the European site is selected	Not likely due to the location and type of development

(e.g. because of increased noise, illumination and human activity)?	The site is sufficient distance from the European site.
... direct / indirect damage to the size, characteristics or reproductive ability of populations on the European site?	None likely due to the location and type of development. The site is sufficient distance from the European site.
Would the project interfere with mitigation measures put in place for other plans / projects. [Look at <i>in-combination effects</i> with completed, approved but not completed, and proposed plans / projects. Look at projects / plans within and adjacent to European sites and identify them]. Simply stating that there are no cumulative impacts' is insufficient.	No other plans/projects known of in the vicinity of the site. The site is sufficient distance from the European site.
(E) SCREENING CONCLUSION:	
Screening can result in:	
1.	<i>AA is not required</i> because the project is directly connected with / necessary to the nature conservation management of the site.
2.	<i>No potential for significant effects / AA is not required.</i>
3.	<i>Significant effects are certain, likely or uncertain.</i> (In this situation seek a Natura Impact Statement from the applicant or reject the project. Reject if too potentially damaging / inappropriate.
Therefore, does the project fall into category 1, 2 or 3 above?	Category 2
Justify why it falls into relevant category above:	There would be no likely significant impacts on the nearest European site from the existing development due to the scale of the existing development and the separation distance between the subject site and the European Site.
Name:	
Position:	Graduate Planner
Date:	11 th December 2024

Given the location, the nature, and size of the existing development applied for, and the characteristics of European sites in the vicinity, it is considered that 500 metres should be used as a potential zone of impact of the project, in accordance with section 3.2.3 of the appropriate assessment guidelines.

The nearest European site is 6.13km from the existing development, but, due to the existing development's nature and scale, it is highly unlikely that there will be any significant effects on the nearest European site, either alone, or in combination with other plans and projects.

